

## **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan

Appendix G consists of eight Tabs which contain the Inter-Regional coordination Agreement between Region 7 – Colorado, and it's adjacent regions as **follows**:

<i>TAB 1</i>	<i>Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 3 Arizona</i>
TAB 2	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 16 Kansas
TAB 3	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 26 Nebraska
TAB 4	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 29 New Mexico
TAB 5	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 34 Oklahoma
TAB 6	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 41 Utah
TAB 7	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 46 Wyoming
TAB 8	Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 52 Texas Panhandle

---

**Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz  
Regional Plan

**THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY**

**Tab 1 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 3 Arizona)**  
**Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz**  
**Regional Plan**

**Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures**

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 3 Arizona.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 3, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

- a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a *first-come/first-served* basis.
- b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channelladjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

**Tab 1** (inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 3 Arizona)  
**Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz  
Regional Plan


**III. CONCLUSION**

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 3 do hereunto set their  
signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 9-30-04

  
Region 3 Chair  
Curt Knight

Date: 8/26/04

*Note 1: The dispute resolution agreement from Mark S  
Schroeder, signed 1/30/06, supercedes this resolution  
agreement as signed by Curt Knight. The subsequent  
agreement follows on the next four pages.*

**Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures**  
**and**  
**Procedures for Resolution of Disputes**  
**That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans**

**I. Coordination Procedures**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 3 Arizona and Region 7 Colorado.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 3 and 7, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.

b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

c. An application filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.

d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.

e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review.<sup>1</sup> This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

---

<sup>1</sup> If an applicant's proposed service area or interference contour extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region(s), the application must be approved by the affected Region(s). Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Interference contour shall normally be defined as a 5 dBu co-channel contour or a 60 dBu adjacent channel

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

## *II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

(2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC)<sup>1</sup>, of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including

---

contour. Other definitions of service area or interference shall be justified with an accompanying Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.

g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).

i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

### III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 3 and 7 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

---

<sup>2</sup> The Regional Plan Oversight Committee (RPOC) is a committee within the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) established to arbitrate disputes between 700 MHz Regions that cannot be resolved by the impacted Regions.



Mark S. Schroeder, Region 1 700 Chairman

date:

1/30/06



Emery L. Reynolds, Region 7 700 Chairman

date:

1-18-06



**Tab 2 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 16 Kansas)**  
**Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz**  
**Regional Plan**

*Infer-Regional Coordination Procedures*

**I. Coordination Procedures**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 16 Kansas.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 16, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.

b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval,

d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.

e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

(i) Unconditional concurrence;

(ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or


(iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

**Tab 2** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 16 Kansas)  
**Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz  
Regional Plan

**III. CONCLUSION**

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 16 do hereunto set their  
*signatures* the day and year *first* above written.

Respectfully,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 1-13-05

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Region 16 Chair  
Edwin D. Geer

Date: 12/12/04

**Tab 3 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 26  
Nebraska) Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 -  
700 MHz Regional Plan**

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures*

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 26 Nebraska.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 26, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.

b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.

d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.

e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

(i) Unconditional concurrence;

(ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or

(iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

**Tab 3** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 26  
Nebraska) **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 -  
700 MHz Regional Plan


**III. CONCLUSION**

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 26 do hereunto set their  
signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Region 26 Chair  
Mike Jeffries

Date: 3-11-05

**Tab 4 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 29 New Mexico) Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan**

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures*

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 29 New Mexico.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 29, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

- a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a *first-come/first-served* basis.
- b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.


**Tab 4** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 29 New Mexico) **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan

**III. CONCLUSION**


3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 29 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

Date: 1-18-07

  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 3/1/07

  
Region 29 Chair  
Julian Zamora

**Tab 5 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 34  
Oklahoma) Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 -  
700 MHz Regional Plan**

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures*

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 34 Oklahoma.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 34, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come-first-served basis.

b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.

d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.

e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

(i) Unconditional concurrence;

(ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or

(iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.


**Tab 5** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 34  
Oklahoma) **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 -  
700 MHz Regional Plan

**III. CONCLUSION**


3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 34 do hereunto set their  
signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

Date: 1-5-07

  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 1-5-07

  
Region 34 Chair  
Stephen Williamson



**Tab 6 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 41 Utah)**  
**Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz**  
**Regional Plan**

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures*

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 41 Utah.

**11. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 41, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

- a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come-first-served basis.
- b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:


- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

**Tab 6** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 41 Utah)  
**Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz  
Regional Plan


**III. CONCLUSION**

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 41 do hereunto set their  
signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 12-16-04

  
Region 41 Chair  
Steve Proctor

Date: 11-30-04

**Tab 7 (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 46 Wyoming) Appendix G (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan**

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures*

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region **46** Wyoming.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and **46**, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.

a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.

b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.

d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.

e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

*II. Dispute Resolution*

(1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

(i) Unconditional concurrence;

(ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or


(iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

**Tab 7** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 46  
Wyoming) **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 -  
700 MHz Regional Plan


**III. CONCLUSION**

3. **IN AGREEMENT** HERETO, Regions 7 & 46 do hereunto set their  
signatures the day and year first above written.

**Respectfully,**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 12-16-04

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Region 46 Chair  
Kent Drummond

Date: 20 Aug 04

**Tab 8** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 52 Texas Panhandle) **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan

*Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures*

*I. Coordination Procedures*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 7 Colorado and Region 52 Texas Panhandle.

**II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 7 and 52, and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
  - a. An application filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
  - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
  - c. An application filing window is closed after appropriate time interval.
  - d. Intra-regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
  - e. After intra-regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
  - f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

***II. Dispute Resolution***


- (1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten) calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:
  - (i) Unconditional concurrence;
  - (ii) conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
  - (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.

**Tab 8** (Inter-Regional Coordination Agreement – Region 52 Texas Panhandle) **Appendix G** (Adjacent Regions Concurrence) Region 7 - 700 MHz *Regional Plan*


**III. CONCLUSION**

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 7 & 52 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

  
Region 7 Chair  
Emery Reynolds

Date: 9-30-04

  
Region 52 Chair  
Bob Sanders

Date: 8-30-04

## **Appendix H (Adjacent Regions Approvals of Region 7 Plan) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan**

Appendix H consists of separate Tabs for ease of finding the specific documentation.

TAB 1 Region 3 - Arizona	H-1-1
TAB 2 Region 16 - Kansas	H-2-1
TAB 3 Region 26 - Nebraska	H-3-1
TAB 4 Region 29 - New Mexico	H-4-2
TAB 5 Region 34 - Oklahoma	H-5-1
TAB 6 Region 41 - Utah	H-6-1
TAB 7 Region 46 - Wyoming	H-7-1
TAB 8 Region 52 -Texas Panhandle	H-8-1

**Appendix H** (Adjacent Regions Approvals of Region 7 Plan) Region 7 -  
700 MHz Regional Plan

**THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY**



**Tab 1 (Region 3 – Arizona) to Appendix H (Adjacent Regions Approvals of Region 7 Plan) Region 7 - 700 MHz Regional Plan**

**DATE:**

Regional Chairperson, Region 7 - Colorado  
Emery L. Reynolds, Radio Systems Manager  
Region 7 – 700 MHz Planning Committee  
13101 East Bronco's Parkway  
Centennial, Colorado 80112-4558

**RE:** Concurrence with Region 7 – Colorado, 700 MHz Regional Plan

Dear Mr. Reynolds

Region 3 is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan, submitted to this Committee on July 31, 2005. Region 3 met on \_\_\_\_\_, reviewed and formally approved Region 7's Plan.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 3 to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

(see note 1)

Curt Knight  
Regional Chairperson Arizona – Region 3  
Arizona Department of Public Safety  
2102 West Encanto Blvd., P.O. Box 6638  
Phoenix, Arizona 85005-6638

Note 1: Letter dated 2/15/2006 from Mark S Schroeder provided concurrence **by** Region 3 with the Region 7 Plan.  
This letter follows on the next page.